## Remarks ...

This amendment corrects the omission of "calcium oxide" from Claim 1. Calcium oxide appears in Claims 9, 14, 15, 16, and 17, which depend from Claim 1. Claim 14 was also corrected so that it is consistent with paragraph [0017] of the specification.

Applicant's attorney wishes to thank Examiner Fortuna for the telephone interview of August 25, 2006. During that interview, the Examiner acknowledged that she had received Applicant's Brief and had re-opened prosecution in order to make a new rejection.

The Examiner advised Applicant's attorney that she would consider an amendment that limited Claim 1 to the content of Claim 6, and Claims 15 and 18 to the content of Claims 21 and 22, respectively, but she wanted the conditional language ("if") in part (2) of Claims 6, 21, and 22 to be removed. This amendment makes those changes:

Applicant notes the Claims 6, 21, and 22 were not included in the new rejection. Applicant has already responded extensively to the previously-made rejections and will not repeat those comments again here.

Since none of the claims as amended fall within the scope of the new rejection and Applicant believes his previous remarks patentably distinguish his invention over the previously-made rejections, reconsideration and allowance of the remaining claims is requested. The Examiner is invited to call Applicant's attorney at 716-774-0091 should any other changes be needed for allowance.

Respectfully,

Richard D. Fuerle Registration No. 24,640

For Applicant

Richard D. Fuerle 1711 West River Road Grand Island, NY 14072 (716)-774-0091 September 5, 2006 CASE MH01